Onset Timing, Thoughts of Self-harm, and Diagnoses in Postpartum Women With Screen-Positive Depression Findings
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Importance: The period prevalence of depression among women is 21.9% during the first postpartum year; however, questions remain about the value of screening for depression.

Objectives: To screen for depression in postpartum women and evaluate positive screen findings to determine the timing of episode onset, rate and intensity of self-harm ideation, and primary and secondary DSM-IV disorders to inform treatment and policy decisions.

Design: Sequential case series of women who recently gave birth.

Setting: Urban academic women’s hospital.

Participants: During the maternity hospitalization, women were offered screening at 4 to 6 weeks post partum by telephone. Screen-positive women were invited to undergo psychiatric evaluations in their homes.

Main Outcomes and Measures: A positive screen finding was an Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) score of 10 or higher. Self-harm ideation was assessed on EPDS item 10: “The thought of harming myself has occurred to me” (yes, quite often; sometimes; hardly ever; never). Screen-positive women underwent evaluation with the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV for Axis I primary and secondary diagnoses.

Results: Ten thousand mothers underwent screening, with positive findings in 1396 (14.0%); of these, 826 (59.2%) completed the home visits and 147 (10.5%) completed a telephone diagnostic interview. Screen-positive women were more likely to be younger, African American, publicly insured, single, and less well educated. More episodes began post partum (40.1%), followed by during pregnancy (33.4%) and before pregnancy (26.5%). In this population, 19.3% had self-harm ideation. All mothers with the highest intensity of self-harm ideation were identified with the EPDS score of 10 or higher. The most common primary diagnoses were unipolar depressive disorders (68.5%), and almost two-thirds had comorbid anxiety disorders. A striking 22.6% had bipolar disorders.

Conclusions and Relevance: The most common diagnosis in screen-positive women was major depressive disorder with comorbid generalized anxiety disorder. Strategies to differentiate women with bipolar from unipolar disorders are needed.
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With a period prevalence of 21.9% the year after birth, depression is a frequent complication of childbearing. However, recognition and treatment rates are even lower in pregnant and postpartum women (14%) than in the general population (26%). Low treatment rates are juxtaposed against mounting evidence that antenatal and postpartum depression (PPD) increase the risk for multiple adverse outcomes among women and their offspring. Maternal depression interferes with child development and increases the rates of insecure attachment and poor cognitive performance. Suicide accounts for about 20% of postpartum deaths, and is the second most common cause of mortality in postpartum women. Childbearing is an opportune time for intervention because women have contact with health care professionals, have access to health insurance, and are motivated toward positive behaviors to invest...
in universal screening has been recommended (and is mandated in several states); however, screening without system enhancements, such as diagnostic evaluation with intervention implementation, is not currently justifiable or cost-effective and may incur ethical and liability concerns.

Recommendations have reflected this conundrum by concluding that existing data were insufficient to support a firm recommendation for universal perinatal screening but that such screening could benefit women and their families and should be strongly considered. Milgrom et al commented that abandoning PPD screening altogether invokes a sense of "throwing the baby out with the bathwater." With the aim of reducing the burden of maternal morbidity and mortality, diagnostic characterization is key information for mental health practitioners and policy decision makers because optimal treatment derives from accurate diagnostic formulation.

The most frequently used PPD screening tool is the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS). Since publication of the EPDS in 1987, a substantial literature has accrued. The sensitivity and specificity are equivalent to screens that are used in primary care settings. The utility of the EPDS is enhanced by its free availability, ease of administration, and acceptance by patients. Any screening measure identifies only the risk for having a disorder and must be followed by a diagnostic assessment. Data from larger, non–treatment-seeking samples with complete psychiatric characterization (ie, primary diagnosis and comorbidities) are needed to define the heterogeneity inherent in the diagnostic yield from screening for "depression" in postpartum women. A number of key questions related to PPD screening are controversial, and studies in large racially and demographically diverse samples are needed. In women who undergo postpartum screening, what proportion has postpartum episodes (by the DSM-IV definition of onset within 4 weeks), onset during pregnancy, or chronic episodes predating the index pregnancy? What are the psychiatric diagnoses identified in women in whom the screen findings are positive for depression (screen-positive findings)? Rowe and colleagues suggested that the term PPD has become an umbrella term that includes a range of disorders in addition to depression. Interest in postpartum anxiety disorders has increased; in fact, lifetime anxiety disorders were more common (36.4%) than depression (24.9%) in women in the National Comorbidity Survey. Finally, how frequent is self-harm ideation in postpartum women? Howard et al found that 9% of more than 4000 women who completed the EPDS endorsed suicidal ideation.

The goals of this investigation were to (1) determine the proportions of women undergoing postpartum screening with episode onset post partum, during pregnancy, or predating pregnancy; (2) evaluate the rate of self-harm ideation for women with screen-positive EPDS findings; and (3) define primary and secondary DSM-IV Axis I disorders associated with positive screens. To our knowledge, no similar large-scale PPD screening study with complete DSM-IV diagnostic characterization from a nonclinical sample of women who recently gave birth has been published.

METHODS

We conducted a screening program for PPD at an urban obstetrical hospital (Magee-Womens Hospital, University of Pittsburgh) as the initial component of a comprehensive case identification, diagnosis, and intervention project. The intervention, care management vs usual care for women with depressive disorders, is being analyzed for a separate article. The screening measure was the EPDS, which was selected because it is brief (10 items), scored by simple addition, free, available in 23 languages, used with a variety of socioeconomic and ethnic groups, and the most commonly used PPD screening tool worldwide. Previous work from our institution suggested that the EPDS is a favorable measure from a patient acceptability and psychometric standpoint in our setting. The developers of the EPDS suggested 2 cut points based on the screening site's resources to perform follow-up assessment for screen-positive women. A lower cut point of 10 or higher was recommended for settings with the capacity to facilitate evaluation among women with positive screen findings and a cutoff of 13 or higher for settings with limited resources. Item 10 of the EPDS includes the prompt: "The thought of harming myself has occurred to me," with 4 response options consisting of yes, quite often; sometimes; hardly ever; and never. The time frame is the past 7 days.

Women who delivered a live infant at Magee-Womens Hospital were visited by a nurse or social worker on the maternity ward and provided information about PPD. The mothers were offered screening by telephone at 4 to 6 weeks post partum. Exclusion criteria included being non-English speaking, younger than 18 years, or unable to provide consent and having no telephone available. Eligible women signed a waiver approved by the University of Pittsburgh institutional review board, which allowed collection of contact information and later telephone screening. The 4- to 6-week period after birth was chosen because women typically have their obstetrical evaluation then, and we emphasized mental health as a component of postpartum well-being. This time frame also includes the postpartum peak in psychiatric contact (0-19 days) and captures women with rapid-onset, postpartum episodes of mental illness.

We implemented a centralized PPD screening program within our women's mental health research center to tap the efficiency of volume and the streamlined computerized database. The telephone screeners were college students or graduates trained to deliver the EPDS and supervised by experienced master's-level psychiatric clinicians (M.C.M., D.M.R., R.A.Z., C.L.H., and M.L.C.). From 4 to 6 weeks, an intense effort was made to reach the participants, with day and evening calls. If the woman was not reached after 3 days, a postcard encouraging her to contact our team was sent and the calls continued. If she was not reached by 6 weeks, she was removed from the call list and no further contact was attempted.

All women with screen-positive findings (defined as an EPDS score ≥10) were offered a home-visit evaluation for psychiatric diagnostic assessment. Women who declined the home visit were offered a telephone screen to determine the presence or absence of major depressive disorder (MDD). The goal for timing of the home visiting was within 2 weeks of the screen. Any woman who had a very high screening score (EPDS score ≥20) or endorsed any response other than "none" on the EPDS self-harm question was immediately interviewed by the supervising clinician for safety assessment and intervention planning.
THE EDINBURGH POSTNATAL DEPRESSION SCALE (EPDS) was developed as a brief measure of depression in the perinatal period. It consists of 10 questions on the presence of symptoms of depression, with a score of 10 or higher indicating a possible depressive episode. The EPDS has been validated against diagnostic clinical interviews for depression in the postpartum period. The EPDS has been translated into many other languages and has been used in many different countries. The EPDS is a widely used tool for screening for depression in the postpartum period.

DIAGNOSTIC ASSESSMENT

The characteristics of the EPDS scores were compared with the results of diagnostic interviews conducted at the University of Pittsburgh (H.F.E., J.F.L., and S.R.W.). The diagnostic interviews were conducted using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID)26 with the assistance of trained research assistants. The interviews were conducted in the homes of the women by master’s-level clinicians (M.C.M., D.M.R., R.A.Z., C.L.H., and M.L.C.) with child care provided by a research assistant as needed. The interviews typically lasted from 2 to 3 hours. The SCID interviews were conducted at the University of Pittsburgh (H.F.E., J.F.L., and S.R.W.)

DATA ANALYSIS

Descriptive statistics are presented as mean (SD) for continuous variables and percentages for discrete variables. The comparison of subject characteristics was conducted with a χ² (or a Fisher exact) test. The diagnoses of screen-positive women were grouped into the following categories: (1) unipolar depressive disorders, (2) bipolar disorders, (3) anxiety disorders, (4) substance use disorders, (5) other disorders, and (6) no diagnosis. The primary disorder was defined as the condition that was chiefly responsible for the symptoms that prompted the home-visit assessment and was the main focus of attention (the DSM-IV “principal diagnosis”). Secondary diagnoses were categorized as (1) anxiety disorders, with subtypes included because of the high frequency of these comorbidities; (2) substance use disorders; (3) eating disorders; and (4) depressive disorders. Data management and statistical analyses were performed by staff from the Epidemiology Data Center at the University of Pittsburgh (H.F.E., J.F.L., and S.R.W.).

RESULTS

SUBJECT FLOW AND SAMPLE CHARACTERISTICS

A total of 17,601 women were approached and offered screening. Some women (n = 175) were excluded because they were non-English speaking, had no telephone access, or were unable to provide informed consent. New mothers who were younger than 18 years were not included because of the institutional review board requirement for parental consent for participation in research. The remaining 17,426 women (99.0%) agreed to telephone screening. Of these eligible women, 13,442 (77.1%) were contacted and 10,000 (74.4%) underwent screening. All 1396 women with screen-positive findings were offered a home visit for diagnostic assessment with the SCID, and 826 (59.2%) accepted. Women who declined the home visit were offered a telephone screen for MDD only.

The EPDS scores followed the expected right-skewed distribution (Figure 2). The percentages of the 10,000 women with screen-positive findings at the 2 recommended cut points were 14.0% with an EPDS score of 10 or higher and 7.0% with an EPDS score of 13 or higher. The EPDS scores were compared with the results of diagnostic interviews conducted at the University of Pittsburgh (H.F.E., J.F.L., and S.R.W.). The diagnostic interviews were conducted using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID)26 with the assistance of trained research assistants. The interviews were conducted in the homes of the women by master’s-level clinicians (M.C.M., D.M.R., R.A.Z., C.L.H., and M.L.C.) with child care provided by a research assistant as needed. The interviews typically lasted from 2 to 3 hours. The SCID interviews were conducted at the University of Pittsburgh (H.F.E., J.F.L., and S.R.W.).

Figure 1. Subject flow. EPDS indicates Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale.

Figure 2. Frequency distribution of the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) scores.

DEMOGRAPHICS
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Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Women With Positive vs Negative EPDS Findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>All Women (N = 9998)</th>
<th>EPDS Score Analyses</th>
<th>Analyzes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>≥10 (Positive)</td>
<td>≤9 (Negative)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(n = 1396)</td>
<td>(n = 8602)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPDS score, mean (SD)</td>
<td>5.3 (4.4)</td>
<td>13.8 (3.8)</td>
<td>4.0 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD), y</td>
<td>29.6 (5.6)</td>
<td>28.8 (5.9)</td>
<td>29.7 (5.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>8016 (80.3)</td>
<td>1005 (72.0)</td>
<td>7011 (81.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>1456 (14.6)</td>
<td>282 (20.2)</td>
<td>1174 (13.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>212 (2.1)</td>
<td>40 (2.9)</td>
<td>172 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>301 (3.0)</td>
<td>68 (4.9)</td>
<td>233 (2.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic ethnicity</td>
<td>199 (2.0)</td>
<td>36 (2.6)</td>
<td>163 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than high school</td>
<td>436 (4.4)</td>
<td>117 (8.4)</td>
<td>319 (3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>1474 (14.8)</td>
<td>294 (21.1)</td>
<td>1180 (13.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college</td>
<td>2566 (25.7)</td>
<td>421 (30.2)</td>
<td>2145 (25.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College</td>
<td>3125 (31.3)</td>
<td>333 (23.9)</td>
<td>2792 (32.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate school</td>
<td>2368 (23.8)</td>
<td>228 (16.4)</td>
<td>2140 (25.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical insurance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>7179 (71.9)</td>
<td>777 (55.7)</td>
<td>6402 (74.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public</td>
<td>2751 (27.5)</td>
<td>600 (43.0)</td>
<td>2151 (25.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>60 (0.6)</td>
<td>18 (1.3)</td>
<td>42 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>2854 (29.6)</td>
<td>587 (42.7)</td>
<td>2267 (27.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married/cohabiting</td>
<td>6706 (69.5)</td>
<td>756 (55.0)</td>
<td>5950 (71.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced/separated</td>
<td>91 (0.9)</td>
<td>32 (2.3)</td>
<td>59 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>2 (0.02)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: EPDS, Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale; U, Mann-Whitney.

a Unless otherwise indicated, data are expressed as the number (percentage) of women. Percentages have been rounded and might not total 100. Numbers for each category sum to less than the totals because of missing data.

b Two women had incomplete EPDS data.

c Descriptive statistics are based on available data. The test statistic P indicates the Fisher exact test.

(Table 1) also significantly differed in all characteristics evaluated. As anticipated, women with screen-negative findings had significantly lower average scores (mean EPDS, 4.0 [2.6]) compared with those who had screen-positive findings (mean EPDS, 13.8 [3.8]). Screen-positive women were significantly younger, more likely to be African American or a member of another minority group, less highly educated, more likely to have public insurance, and more likely to be single.

TIMING OF EPISODE ONSET

For the 826 women who received home visits, the episode onset was most frequently post partum (within 4 weeks after birth38 for 331 women [40.1%]), followed by during pregnancy (276 [33.4%]) and before pregnancy (219 [26.5%]). Screening at 4 to 6 weeks post partum identified a group of women with psychiatric illnesses with onset times distributed through the prepregnancy, antenatal, and postpartum periods.

SELF-HARM IDEATION

In the sample of 10,000 women who underwent screening, 319 (3.2%) had thoughts of self-harm, including 8 who endorsed “yes, quite often”; 65, “sometimes”; and 246, “hardly ever.” Most women who endorsed self-harm ideation also had screen-positive findings on the EPDS (270 of 319 [84.6%]). The rates of self-harm ideation for women with EPDS scores of 10 or higher (n = 1396) and 13 or higher (n = 703) are shown in Figure 3. At an EPDS score of 10 or higher, the percentage of subjects within each category of response was 80.7% for never and 19.3% for yes, divided as 14.3% for 1 (hardly ever), 4.5% for 2 (sometimes), and 0.6% for 3 (yes, quite often). Women with higher EPDS scores (≥13) had a higher proportion within each category who endorsed thoughts of self-harm, with 70.0% for never and 30.0% for yes, divided as 20.3% for 1, 8.6% for 2, and 11.1% for 3.

A small number of women endorsing thoughts of self-harm (n = 49) had screen-negative findings. None of these women gave the response of “yes, quite often,” whereas “sometimes” was endorsed by 2 and “hardly ever” by 47. Notably, all the mothers who had the high-

Figure 3. Responses to the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) self-harm item for women with positive EPDS screen findings.
The most common primary diagnoses in home-visited women with EPDS scores of 10 or higher were unipolar depressive disorders (566 women [68.5%], including MDD in 514 [90.8%]), bipolar disorder (187 [22.6%]), anxiety disorders (46 [5.6%]), substance use disorders (4 [0.5%]), and other disorders (6 [0.7%]). No diagnosis was found in 17 (2.1%) (Table 2).

The primary diagnoses for the subgroup of 476 home-visited, SCID-assessed women at the higher EPDS cut point of 13 or higher demonstrated that a higher proportion of women had bipolar disorders compared with women with an EPDS score of 10 or higher, including unipolar depressive disorders (324 women [68.1%]), bipolar disorders (127 [26.7%]), anxiety disorders (19 [4.0%]), substance abuse (2 [0.4%]), and other (1 [0.2%]). No diagnosis was found in 3 (0.6%). The secondary diagnostic distributions for each primary diagnostic group were similar in the subgroups with EPDS scores of 10 or higher and 13 or higher (data not shown). Of the 147 women who completed the telephone screening for MDD, 25 (17.0%) had the disorder, a rate lower than the rate of MDD in the screen-positive women who participated in a home visit (514 of 1396 [36.8%]).
This sample of 10,000 women who recently gave birth is the largest American population to undergo screening with the EPDS. The rate of acceptance of post-screening diagnostic evaluation in these women was more favorable (59.2% home visits and 10.5% telephone evaluations) than in many US studies (12%, 27, 27%, 28 and 33%), and comparable to rates in Australian studies (65%, 14 and 75%). Contributing to the acceptance of interviews, which required 2 hours of the mother’s time, was conducting them in the women’s homes and providing a $40 gift card. However, our sample of 10,000 was derived from 13,442 women reached by telephone from 17,426 eligible women. According to our institutional review board policy, we were unable to collect demographic data from women who did not undergo EPDS screening at 4 to 6 weeks post partum; therefore, the characteristics of these women are unknown. Anecdotally, the recruitment staff in the maternity hospital reported that some women declined screening because they were receiving mental health treatment. Out-of-service cellular telephones were also a reason for our inability to contact women for screening.

The screen-positive women who completed the home diagnostic interview had higher EPDS scores and were more likely to be African American, publicly insured, younger, and less highly educated than women who declined or elected telephone diagnostic participation only. From a public health standpoint, these more seriously ill, higher-risk women are primary targets for identification and intervention. One-third of all births in the United States occur to women enrolled in Medicaid. Elevated rates of MDD have been found in programs serving low-income women, and use of mental health care resources is particularly limited for minority women with PPD. Women with fewer resources and serious functional impairment may be more likely to accept a home-visit evaluation. The study protocol dictated that any woman with an EPDS score of 20 or higher or suicidal ideation during the telephone interview spoke with a clinician who encouraged her to accept the home-visit evaluation, which also increased the likelihood that high-risk women received home visits.

Consistent with epidemiologic studies, most of the women (40.1%) identified the onset of their episode as post partum. Onset during pregnancy was described by one-third of the women undergoing screening, whereas chronic illness with onset before pregnancy was true in more than one-quarter. Similar episode onset times were found in a minority population of postpartum women, 50% of mothers with MDD developed the episode after delivery, 25% developed the episode during pregnancy, and 25% had chronic episodes. These data suggest consideration of screening during pregnancy to identify psychiatric disorders and intervene earlier in the episode course.

Rates of self-harm ideation on the EPDS vary because of population characteristics and the time of postpartum administration. The rate we observed (3.2%) at 4 to 6 weeks post partum is comparable to other studies of new mothers, including 5.4% at 8 weeks in England, 0.5% to 3.7% in a multisite study in Canada, 5.3% at 6 weeks in the United States, and 2.7% at 4 weeks in Italy. Higher rates (9%) were reported by Howard et al, whose population was recruited from socioeconomically deprived areas at 6 to 8 weeks, and by Yonkers et al (8.5%) in a minority sample at 3 to 5 weeks post partum. Our finding that the EPDS cut point of 10 or higher identified all women who endorsed the highest intensity of self-harm is notable; conversely, no mothers scoring less than 10 gave this response. Self-harm ideation with high intent is a distal predictor of suicide. Although the rate of completed suicide is lower in postpartum women than in the general population of women, it is the second leading cause of maternal death and is characterized by violent and lethal means (eg, drowning, self-immolation). The training of personnel who perform screening must include emergency referral and familiarity with community psychiatric resources.

A novel contribution of this study is the complete Axis I diagnostic characterization of the subjects. Although an EPDS score of 10 or higher is considered a low screening cut point, only 2.8% of screen-positive women did not have at least 1 primary DSM-IV Axis I diagnosis. Other investigators have reported rates of EPDS screen-positive women without a psychiatric diagnosis of 6.9% at 5 months and 11.3% at 4 months post partum. Our low rate of nondiagnosis likely results from administration of a detailed SCID examination by highly experienced clinicians.

Consistent with epidemiologic studies, most of the screen-positive postpartum women (91.1%) had primary mood disorders. Also consistent is the finding that the most common diagnoses identified were unipolar depressive disorders, with the overwhelming majority being MDD. Similar to MDD outside of childbearing, we found that PPD was highly comorbid with anxiety disorders. This observation may explain the reason for the relatively small body of literature on primary anxiety disorders across childbearing. Most adults with mood disorders experience an anxiety disorder or significant anxiety symptoms in childhood or adolescence. This finding held true in our patients, who had already developed a recurrent pattern of MDD superimposed on an anxiety disorder. Because they increase the likelihood of treatment refractoriness in patients with MDD, identification of secondary disorders informs treatment planning and increases the precision of disease management.

Our diagnostic results can be compared with those of other studies from investigators who conducted post-screening diagnostic assessments. Horowitz et al performed telephone screening among women from 2 academic medical centers at 4 to 6 weeks post partum. They invited women who had an EPDS score of 10 or higher to undergo the SCID; 5169 were recruited and 13% (similar to our rate of 14.0%) had positive EPDS screen findings. Major or minor depression (akin to our depressive disorders category) included 77.8% of participants. In the study by Milgrom et al, 74.4% of women scoring 12 or higher on the EPDS had unipolar depressive disorders. The rates of depressive disorders in these 2 studies may
be higher than ours (68.5%) because we classified bipolar disorder (including bipolar depression) separately.

Although the EPDS was developed to screen for depression, a striking finding was that 22.6% of the screen-positive women had bipolar disorder. This figure is likely to be an underestimate of bipolar disorder episode frequency because the EPDS does not screen specifically for the hypomanic/manic phase of the disorder. The postpartum period carries the highest lifetime risk for first-onset and recurrent episodes of bipolar disorder. Among women known to have bipolar disorder, 50% to 70% have recurrences post partum. Munk-Olsen and colleagues recently reported that 14% of women with a first psychiatric contact during the initial 30 postpartum days had a conversion to a bipolar disorder diagnosis during a 15-year follow-up compared with only 4% with a first contact unrelated to childbirth. Several contributors to this extreme vulnerability for postpartum decompensation have been advanced. Massive withdrawal of gonadal steroid levels contributes to mood instability in these neurobiologically and genetically vulnerable women. Sleep deprivation and interference with circadian rhythms during late pregnancy, labor, and breastfeeding promote mood destabilization.

Bipolar disorders are common, clinically significant, and underrecognized. In an urban general medical care clinic, the rate of positive screen results for lifetime bipolar disorder was nearly 1 of every 10 patients (9.8%). Our rate of diagnosed bipolar disorder in an obstetrical sample was even higher for several reasons. First, we conducted our psychiatric evaluations with women who already had been identified with screen-positive EPDS findings. Second, we conducted in-depth SCID interviews for current and lifetime diagnoses. Bipolar disorder is difficult to diagnose because a detailed lifetime history search for hypomania and mixed states must be completed.

Third, the highly experienced clinicians were specifically trained to differentiate unipolar from bipolar depression.

Recognition of bipolar disorder is the most important prerequisite for adequate treatment. Many patients receive treatment for comorbid psychiatric disorders, but lack of recognition of the underlying bipolar disorder results in few receiving appropriate treatment. Half of women with “treatment-resistant” PPD actually have bipolar disorder. Validated screens for postpartum bipolar disorder or mania are urgently needed. Failure to identify mania/hypomania results in the misdiagnosis of bipolar disorder as MDD. Antidepressant monotherapy may increase rapid cycling and the risk for mania or treatment resistance. Treatment of the depressed phase of bipolar disorder with a mood stabilizer and an antidepressant does not confer benefit beyond treatment with a mood stabilizer alone. Given the critical importance of birth as a life event for families, detection and treatment of bipolar disorder among childbearing women has major public health significance.

This investigation has several strengths, including the large heterogeneous population of non–treatment-seeking women and psychiatric diagnostic interviews with most of the women with positive screen results. Experienced clinicians conducted the interviews, and all diagnostic formulations were reviewed by psychiatrists. Because we were interested in evaluating the yield of diagnoses for screen-positive women, only those with EPDS scores of 10 or higher were offered diagnostic assessments, which limited our capacity to evaluate the sensitivity and specificity of the EPDS from the data. However, an EPDS score of 10 or higher identified 14.0% of the population as at risk for MDD. Lower cut points would yield even more women identified as screen-positive and impose practical limitations owing to a large burden for postscreening assessment.

Although a single screening point is efficient, the timing must balance the accrual of women who develop the disorder post partum against the length of time these women will be ill before identification. Although more than 40% of women in our sample had postpartum-onset disorders, many longer-term psychiatric illnesses began before or during the index pregnancy. The elevated risk for psychiatric episodes continues until 3 months post partum, which suggests that additional screening points beyond 4 to 6 weeks or rescreening among women with subthreshold scores (such as an EPDS score of ≥8 or ≥9). Finally, despite a comparatively high rate of completed SCID interviews, the diagnostic contribution of the women who declined the home visit or telephone interview for MDD is not known. Women who had a telephone interview or who declined evaluation had lower mean EPDS scores, which implies that diagnostic interviews were more likely to be obtained from the women in the population who were (on average) more ill, which is desirable given the objectives of screening. The demographics also imply that women who had telephone interviews or who declined were single, privately insured working mothers who could not arrange time for an in-home interview.

Although centralized depression screening by telephone as in this study is feasible in the early postpartum period, the challenge is to design a therapeutic program to support and retain women through diagnostic evaluation and treatment to maternal recovery and optimal function. The diagnostic data demonstrate that the most common episode in postpartum women is recurrent MDD with a comorbid anxiety disorder, typically generalized anxiety disorder, and that strategies for identifying women with bipolar disorder are needed to improve diagnostic precision. A comprehensive screening and diagnostic characterization coupled with diagnosis-specific intervention strategies might reduce maternal disability, improve function, and avert a new generation at risk.
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